

GABOR FIELDS AND WAVELET SETS FOR THE HEISENBERG GROUP

BRADLEY CURREY AND AZITA MAYELI

ABSTRACT. We study singly-generated wavelet systems on $\mathbb{R}^2$ that are naturally associated with rank-one wavelet systems on the Heisenberg group N . We prove a necessary condition on the generator in order that any such system be a Parseval frame. Given a suitable subset I of the dual of N , we give an explicit construction for Parseval frame wavelets that are associated with I . We say that $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ is Gabor field over I if, for a.e. $\lambda \in I$, $|\lambda|^{1/2}g(\lambda, \cdot)$ is the Gabor generator of a Parseval frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and that I is a Heisenberg wavelet set if every Gabor field over I is a Parseval frame (mother-)wavelet for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We then show that I is a Heisenberg wavelet set if and only if I is both translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval and dilation congruent with the Shannon set.

Keywords and phrases: *Wavelet, Heisenberg group, Gabor frame, Parseval frame, multiplicity-free*

0. INTRODUCTION

The Heisenberg group N is a natural model for the structure of Gabor systems, as well as a domain upon which one can construct wavelet frame systems of functions. There is a substantial body of work that has been concerned with the construction of wavelets on N , or more generally, on stratified groups. In [9], multiresolution analysis on stratified Lie groups is used to obtain a wavelet orthonormal basis for $L^2(N)$ generated by finitely many C^N wavelets, arising from a generalized spline-surface space. Nearly tight wavelet frames on stratified Lie groups are obtained in [6] by a multiplier and sub-Laplacian theory via a single Schwartz wavelet with many vanishing moments, or a compactly supported smooth wavelet with arbitrarily many vanishing moments. In [5, Chapter 6], band-limited subspaces of $L^2(N)$ that admit translation frames are characterized. In [10], a Shannon-type multiresolution analysis is constructed and used to produce a (single) band-limited Parseval frame wavelet for $L^2(N)$. In this paper we are concerned with a class of discrete systems

Date: May 19, 2009.

The second author was partially supported by the Marie Curie Excellence Team Grant MEXT-CT-2004-013477, Acronym MAMEBIA, funded by the European Commission.

of functions of two variables that involve dilation, as well as translation and modulation, and that arise naturally as wavelet systems of functions on the Heisenberg group.

Other than in [5] and [10], the group Fourier transform on $L^2(N)$ has played a limited role in the construction of wavelet frames. This stands in stark contrast with central role played by the Fourier transform in the construction and application of wavelet frames on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this paper we will see that an explicit application of the group Fourier transform on certain wavelet systems in $L^2(N)$ leads to a natural class of systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ that are combinations of translations, modulations, and dilations. Our approach is to consider discrete systems whose group Fourier transforms are rank one. The dual $\hat{N}$ of N is almost-everywhere identified with $\Lambda = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and hence rank-one systems can be regarded in a natural way on the Fourier transform side as scalar-valued function systems in $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$, where the group Plancherel measure $|\lambda|d\lambda$ is used on Λ . If $\hat{\psi} = g(\lambda, t)$ is the generator for such a system, then for each λ , the group translates of ψ become Gabor systems generated by $|\lambda|^{1/2}g(\lambda, \cdot)$. Dilation operators that are Fourier transforms of the usual dilations on $L^2(N)$ are added to the mix, and the result is a wavelet system on $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ that is naturally associated with the Heisenberg group. In the present paper, we prove a number of fundamental properties of such systems. We characterize the wavelet systems on N that give rise to wavelet systems in $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$, and for these systems we prove a necessary condition for frame generators. We prove a method for construction of wavelet frame systems for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ by considering functions supported on subsets $I \times \mathbb{R}$ of $\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}$. Given $I \subset \Lambda$, we say that $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ is a Gabor field over I if, for a.e. $\lambda \in I$, the Gabor system generated by $|\lambda|^{1/2}g(\lambda, \cdot)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Examples of Gabor fields that generate Parseval frames for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ are not difficult to construct, though they can never be orthonormal. We then study conditions under which all Gabor fields over I are also generators for Parseval frames on $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ and $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$. The main idea of this paper is that these conditions are solely dependent upon the properties of the set I , and that these properties are precisely the analogue of wavelet frame set properties in the Euclidean case. Further study of general wavelet systems of this type is intended for future work.

In Section 1 we introduce invariant multiplicity-free subspaces of $L^2(N)$, as well as multiplicity one subspaces, and we make explicit their natural isomorphism with the function space $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$, where I is the spectrum of the subspace in question. In Section 2 we consider the systems in $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ that are Fourier transforms of translation systems in multiplicity-free subspaces. Such systems are fields of Gabor systems parametrized by I , and in light of basic results of Gabor analysis we observe that a band-limiting condition on I is necessary for the existence of Gabor fields

over I . We show (Propositions 2.3 and 2.5) that the property that every Gabor field over I generates a Parseval frame for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ is equivalent to the property that I is translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval. We then introduce the dilations on N and $L^2(N)$, and show that if I is dilation congruent with the Shannon set, then translation frame generators for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ are also wavelet frame generators for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$.

We begin Section 3 by proving a precise analogue of [2, Theorem 3.3.1], showing that if g is the generator of a wavelet frame system for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ (using the integer translation lattice), then g is weakly admissible for the quasi-regular representation of the dilated Heisenberg group (Theorem 3.2). With this general result we turn back to band-limited wavelet frame generators. If $I \subset \Lambda$, we say that g is an I -wavelet if $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ and g is the generator of a wavelet frame system for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ using the integer translation lattice. We then show that if every Gabor field g over I is an I -wavelet, then I is dilation congruent with the Shannon set, and g is a wavelet frame generator for non-integral translation lattices in N as well. In this context we say that I is a wavelet set if every Gabor field g over I is also an I -wavelet. All of the above results allow us to conclude (Theorem 3.6) that I is a wavelet set if and only if I is translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval and I is dilation congruent with the Shannon set.

1. PRELIMINARIES

A system $\mathcal{W} = \{\psi_j\}_{j \in J}$ of functions in a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a *Parseval frame* (or *normalized tight frame*) for $\mathcal{H}$ if

$$\|g\|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} |\langle g, \psi_j \rangle|^2$$

holds for every $g \in \mathcal{H}$. If $\mathcal{W}$ is a system in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{W}'$ is a system in $\mathcal{H}'$, we will say that $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{W}'$ are equivalent if there is a unitary isomorphism $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{W}$ is a bijection onto $\mathcal{W}'$.

The term “set” will always mean “Lebesgue measurable set” and “function” will mean “Lebesgue measurable function”. We shall identify subsets whose symmetric difference has Lebesgue measure zero. We recall the following facts about translation and dilation congruence. First, subsets I and J of $\mathbb{R}$ are translation congruent if there is a bijection $\tau : I \rightarrow J$ and an integer-valued function k on I such that $\tau(\lambda) = \lambda + k(\lambda)$. I is translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval $[0, 1]$ if and only if the integral translations of I are disjoint. Secondly, I and J are dilation congruent if there is a bijection $\delta : I \rightarrow J$ and an integer valued function j on I such that $\delta(\lambda) = 2^{j(\lambda)}\lambda$. I is dilation congruent with the Shannon set $I_0 = [-1, -1/2) \cup [1/2, 1)$ if and only if $\mathbb{R} = \dot{\cup}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j I$ as a disjoint union.

We use the following realization of the Heisenberg group N : as a topological space N is identified with $\mathbb{R}^3$, and we let N have the group operation

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cdot (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3 + x_1 y_2).$$

For $a > 0$ and $x \in N$ define the dilation of x by a with

$$(1.1) \quad a \cdot x = (a^{1/2} x_1, a^{1/2} x_2, a x_3).$$

Then $x \mapsto a \cdot x$ is an automorphism of N .

Put $\Lambda = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. For $x \in N$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we define the unitary operator $\pi_\lambda(x)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$(\pi_\lambda(x)f)(t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda x_3} e^{-2\pi i \lambda x_2 t} f(t - x_1), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then $x \mapsto \pi_\lambda(x)$ is an irreducible unitary representation of N , the so-called Schrödinger representation. Recall that the family $\{\pi_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ consists of pairwise inequivalent representations and can be regarded as a non-commutative “frequency domain” for N in the following sense. For $\phi \in L^2(N) \cap L^1(N)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the weak operator-valued integral

$$\mathcal{F}\phi(\lambda) = \int_N \phi(x) \pi_\lambda(x) dx.$$

defines a trace-class operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The Plancherel theorem for N says that $\mathcal{F}$ extends to a unitary isomorphism of $L^2(N)$ with the Hilbert space $L^2(\Lambda, \mathcal{HS}(L^2(\mathbb{R})), |\lambda| d\lambda)$ of all (equivalence classes of) Hilbert-Schmidt operator-valued functions on Λ . For simplicity we shall use the notation $\mathcal{F}\phi = \hat{\phi}$, and we put $\mathbb{H} = L^2(\Lambda, \mathcal{HS}(L^2(\mathbb{R})), |\lambda| d\lambda)$.

For $x \in N$ define the unitary left translations on T_x on $L^2(N)$ by $T_x \phi = \phi(x^{-1} \cdot)$; for $\phi \in L^2(N) \cap L^1(N)$, we have

$$(1.2) \quad \widehat{T_x \phi}(\lambda) = \pi_\lambda(x) \hat{\phi}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Define the unitary dilation operator on $L^2(N)$ by

$$D_a \phi = \phi(a^{-1} \cdot) \quad a^{-1}, \phi \in L^2(N).$$

For any $a > 0$, $\phi \in L^2(N)$, we have (for a.e. λ)

$$(1.3) \quad \widehat{D_a \phi}(\lambda) = a \, C_a^{-1} \circ \hat{\phi}(a\lambda) \circ C_a$$

where, for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $a > 0$,

$$C_a f(t) = a^{1/4} f(a^{1/2} t).$$

Subspaces of $L^2(N)$ that are invariant under these operators are described as follows.

For Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ we denote the Hilbert space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $\mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ by $\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{K}}$, and we recall that given bounded linear operators

T_1 and T_2 on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ respectively, then $T_1 \otimes T_2$ is the bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ defined by

$$(1.4) \quad (T_1 \otimes T_2)(A) = T_1 A T_2.$$

If $\{\mathcal{H}_\alpha\}$ is a measurable field of Hilbert spaces over a measurable space A and μ is a measure on A , then the direct integral $\int_A^\oplus \mathcal{H}_\alpha d\mu(\alpha)$ is the Hilbert space of measurable vector fields $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ on A such that $\int_A \|f_\alpha\|^2 d\mu(\alpha) < \infty$. A bounded linear operator T on $\int_A^\oplus \mathcal{H}_\alpha d\mu(\alpha)$ is decomposable if for a.e. $\alpha \in A$, there is a bounded linear operator T_α on $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ such that $T(\{f_\alpha\}) = \{T_\alpha f_\alpha\}$, and we write $T = \{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$. In particular, we have

$$\mathbb{H} = \int_\Lambda^\oplus \mathcal{HS}(L^2(\mathbb{R})) \, |\lambda| d\lambda$$

and for each $x \in N$, $\hat{T}_x := \mathcal{F} T_x \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \{\pi_\lambda(x) \otimes 1\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. See [4, Section 7.3, 7.4] for further details about the preceding.

Now let $\mathcal{H}$ be a closed subspace of $L^2(N)$; we say that $\mathcal{H}$ is *translation invariant* if $T_x(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{H}$ holds for all $x \in N$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is translation invariant and let P be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{H}$. Then P commutes with the operators $T_x, x \in N$, and hence P belongs to the von-Neumann algebra generated by the right translation operators. By [3, Th. 18.8.1] or [5, Theorem 3.48], we have

$$(1.5) \quad \hat{P} := \mathcal{F} P \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \{1 \otimes \hat{P}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

where, for a.e. λ , $\hat{P}_\lambda$ is an orthogonal projection on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and the measurable field $\{\hat{P}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is unique up to a.e. equality. This means that

$$(1.6) \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}) = \int_\Lambda^\oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \overline{\mathcal{K}}_\lambda \, |\lambda| d\lambda.$$

where $\mathcal{K}_\lambda = \hat{P}_\lambda(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$. Set $m_{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) = \text{rank}(\hat{P}_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$; the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$ is the set $I(\mathcal{H}) = \text{supp}(m_{\mathcal{H}})$. A closed subspace $\mathcal{H}$ of $L^2(N)$ is said to be *multiplicity-free* if it is translation invariant and $m_{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) \leq 1$ a.e.; if $m_{\mathcal{H}}(\lambda) = 1$ a.e. then we will say that $\mathcal{H}$ is *multiplicity-one*.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a multiplicity-free subspace of $L^2(N)$, I the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}$, P the projection onto $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\{\hat{P}_\lambda\}$ be the associated measurable field of projections as in (1.5). We have a measurable vector field $e = \{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in I}$ with each e_λ a unit vector in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\hat{P}_\lambda = e_\lambda \otimes e_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{K}_\lambda = \mathbb{C}e_\lambda$. Thus (1.6) can be written as

$$(1.7) \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}} = \int_I^\oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes e_\lambda \, |\lambda| d\lambda.$$

where e_λ is regarded as an element of $\overline{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic with

$$(1.8) \quad \int_I^\oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}) \, |\lambda| d\lambda$$

via the isomorphism V_e defined on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$V_e \eta(\lambda) = \hat{\eta}(\lambda)(e_\lambda), \text{ a.e. } \eta \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We identify the direct integral (1.8) with $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ in the obvious way, where it is understood that I carries the measure $|\lambda|d\lambda$. Note that the definition of V_e depends upon the choice of the unit vector field $e = \{e_\lambda\}$, and if we write $\hat{\eta} = \{f_\lambda \otimes e_\lambda\}$, then $V_e \eta(\lambda) = f_\lambda$. We shall say that V_e is the *reducing isomorphism* for $\mathcal{H}$ associated with the vector field $\{e_\lambda\}$. Note that if $e' = \{e'_\lambda\}$ is another measurable unit vector field for which (1.7) holds, then there is a measurable unitary complex-valued function $c(\lambda)$ on I such that $e'_\lambda = c(\lambda)e_\lambda$ holds for a.e. λ . Hence if V_e and $V_{e'}$ are the associated reducing isomorphisms, then $V' \circ V^{-1}$ is just the unitary multiplication operator on (1.8) associated with $c(\lambda)$. From now on we write $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ when discussing a multiplicity-free subspace with reducing isomorphism V .

2. GABOR FIELDS, TRANSLATION SYSTEMS, AND WAVELET SYSTEMS

Let $I \subseteq \Lambda$ and let $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ be a multiplicity-free subspace of $L^2(N)$. Let $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta} = \alpha\mathbb{Z} \times \beta\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ where α and β are positive parameters. We shall refer to the subsets $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$ as lattices and the constants α and β as lattice parameters, even though $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$ is not a subgroup of N unless α and β are integers. For $(k, l, m) \in \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$ we define the unitary operator on $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ by $\hat{T}_{k,l,m} = VT_{(k,l,m)}V^{-1}$, so that for $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$,

$$\hat{T}_{k,l,m}g(\lambda, t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda m} e^{-2\pi i \lambda t} g(\lambda, t - k).$$

With $\psi = V^{-1}g$, the translation system $\mathcal{T}(\psi, \alpha, \beta) = \{T_{(k,l,m)}\psi : (k, l, m) \in \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\}$ is equivalent with the system $\hat{\mathcal{T}}(g, \alpha, \beta) = \{\hat{T}_{k,l,m}g : (k, l, m) \in \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ fixed, $\hat{T}_{k,l,0}$ defines a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ in the obvious way which we denote by $\hat{T}_{k,l}^\lambda$. For $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ set $\mathcal{G}(u, \alpha, \beta, \lambda) = \{\hat{T}_{k,l}^\lambda u : k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}\}$. We will also use the notation $g_{k,l,m} = \hat{T}_{k,l,m}g$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $I \subset \Lambda$ and $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$. We say that g is a Gabor field over I if, for a.e. $\lambda \in I$, $\mathcal{G}(|\lambda|^{1/2}g(\lambda, \cdot), \alpha, \beta, \lambda)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, for some lattice parameters α and β .*

Gabor fields are easily constructed as the following shows.

Example 2.2. Let α and β be any positive numbers, and let I be a subset of Λ that is included in the interval $[-1/\alpha\beta, 1/\alpha\beta]$. For each $(\lambda, t) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{R}$, set

$$g(\lambda, t) = \beta^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{I \times [0, \alpha]}(\lambda, t).$$

Then g is a Gabor field over I with lattice parameters α and β .

Proof. Fix any $\lambda \in I$ and for $l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}$ put $e_l^\lambda(t) = e^{-2\pi i \lambda l t}$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}$, $l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, |\lambda|^{1/2} g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) |\lambda\beta|^{1/2} e^{2\pi i \lambda l t} \mathbf{1}_{[0,\alpha]}(t-k) dt \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t+k) \mathbf{1}_{[0,\alpha]}(t) |\lambda\beta|^{1/2} e^{2\pi i \lambda l t} dt \right) e^{2\pi i \lambda k l}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{|\lambda\beta|^{1/2} e_l^\lambda|_{[0,1/\beta|\lambda|]} : l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2([0,1/\beta|\lambda|])$ and $\alpha \leq 1/\beta|\lambda|$, then $\{|\lambda\beta|^{1/2} e_l^\lambda|_{[0,\alpha]} : l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2([0,\alpha])$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^2 &= \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}} \|\mathbf{1}_{[0,\alpha]} T_{-k} f\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle \mathbf{1}_{[0,\alpha]} T_{-k} f, |\lambda\beta|^{1/2} e_l^\lambda|_{[0,\alpha]} \rangle \right|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle f, |\lambda\beta|^{1/2} e_l^\lambda T_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,\alpha]} \rangle e^{2\pi i \lambda k l} \right|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, |\lambda|^{1/2} g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now suppose that g is the function of the preceding example and also that I is translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval. Then the system $\hat{\mathcal{T}}(g, \alpha, \beta)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$, as the following result shows.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that I is translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval, and fix lattice parameters α and β . For each $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$, the conditions*

(i) *g is a Gabor field over I with lattice parameters α and β , and*

(ii) *$\hat{\mathcal{T}}(g, \alpha, \beta)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$,*

are equivalent.

Proof. Let $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ and suppose that g defines a Gabor field over I with lattice parameters α and β . For $f \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ we have $f(\lambda, \cdot)$ is square integrable on $\mathbb{R}$ for a.e. λ , so

$$\|f(\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} |F_{k,l}(\lambda)|^2.$$

holds for a.e. $\lambda \in I$, where

$$F_{k,l}(\lambda) = \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), |\lambda|^{1/2} g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle.$$

Hence

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|^2 = \int_I \|f(\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 |\lambda| d\lambda = \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} \int_I |F_{k,l}(\lambda)|^2 |\lambda| d\lambda.$$

and in particular, each $F_{k,l}$ belongs to $L^2(I, |\lambda| d\lambda)$. Now since $\{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}|_{[0,1]} : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2([0,1], d\lambda)$ and I is translation congruent to a measurable subset of $[0,1]$, then $\{e^{2\pi i \lambda m}|_I : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(I, d\lambda)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{k,l}\|_{L^2(I)}^2 &= \int_I \left| \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), |\lambda|^{1/2} g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle \right|^2 |\lambda| d\lambda \\ &= \int_I |\langle f(\lambda, \cdot), g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle| |\lambda| d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_I \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle |\lambda| e^{-2\pi i \lambda m} d\lambda \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_I \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), g_{k,l,m}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle |\lambda| d\lambda \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{I \times \mathbb{R}} f(\lambda, t) \overline{g_{k,l,m}(\lambda, t)} |\lambda| d\lambda dt \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the preceding with (2.1) we get

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{I \times \mathbb{R}} f(\lambda, t) \overline{g_{k,l,m}(\lambda, t)} |\lambda| d\lambda dt \right|^2.$$

On the other hand, suppose that $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}(g, \alpha, \beta)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$. For any $f \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \|f\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} \sum_m \left| \int_I \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), g_{k,l,m}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle |\lambda| d\lambda \right|^2.$$

Since I is translation congruent to a subset of $[0,1]$, functions on I that are square-integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure have a Fourier series expansion in terms of the exponentials $e^{2\pi i m \lambda}$. Now (2.2) shows that $\lambda \mapsto \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle |\lambda|$ is integrable with square integrable Fourier coefficients

$$\int_I \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle |\lambda| e^{-2\pi i \lambda m} d\lambda = \int_I \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), g_{k,l,m}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle |\lambda| d\lambda.$$

Hence $\lambda \mapsto \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle |\lambda|$ belongs to $L^2(I, d\lambda)$ and $F_{k,l}(\lambda) := \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), |\lambda|^{1/2} g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle$ belongs to $L^2(I, |\lambda| d\lambda)$. Moreover (2.2) and the Parseval identity for Fourier series

shows that

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} \|F_{k,l}\|^2.$$

Now we claim that for $f \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$, there is a conull subset $C(f)$ of I such that for all $\lambda \in C(f)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(\lambda, t)|^2 dt = \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} |F_{k,l}(\lambda)|^2$$

holds. Let B be any Lebesgue measurable subset of I , and let $f_B = f \mathbf{1}_{B \times \mathbb{R}}$. Then

$$\langle f_B(\lambda, \cdot), |\lambda|^{1/2} g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle = F_{k,l}(\lambda) \mathbf{1}_B(\lambda)$$

and so by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(\lambda, t)|^2 dt |\lambda| d\lambda &= \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_B(\lambda, t)|^2 dt |\lambda| d\lambda \\ &= \|f_B\|^2 = \int_B \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} |F_{k,l}(\lambda)|^2 |\lambda| d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows. Now let $\mathcal{D}$ be a countable subset of $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ such that for each $\lambda \in I$, $\{f(\lambda, \cdot) : f \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. (For instance, one can take a countable dense subset of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, multiplied by a fixed nowhere vanishing function in $L^2(\Lambda)$.) Let $C = \cap_{f \in \mathcal{D}} C(f)$ where $C(f)$ is as in the preceding claim. For each $\lambda \in C$ define $T_\lambda : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow l^2(\alpha\mathbb{Z} \times \beta\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$T_\lambda(h) = \left(\langle h, |\lambda|^{1/2} g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle \right)_{k,l}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda(f(\lambda, \cdot))\|^2 &= \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle f(\lambda, \cdot), |\lambda|^{1/2} g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) \rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} |F_{k,l}(\lambda)|^2 = \|f(\lambda, \cdot)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and since $\{f(\lambda, \cdot) : f \in \mathcal{D}\}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that T_λ is an isometry. This means that for each $\lambda \in C$, $\{|\lambda|^{1/2} g_{k,l,0}(\lambda, \cdot) : k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and the proof is finished. $\square$

The assumption that I is included in $[-1/\alpha\beta, 1/\alpha\beta]$ in Example 2.2 is necessary: from [7, Corollary 7.3.2, Corollary 7.5.1], we see that if g defines a Gabor field over I with lattice parameters α and β , then

$$(2.4) \quad \| |\lambda|^{1/2} g(\lambda, \cdot) \|^2 = |\lambda| \alpha \beta \leq 1$$

holds for a.e. $\lambda \in I$. From now on we shall assume then that $I \subset [-1/\alpha\beta, 1/\alpha\beta]$. We first consider the case where $\alpha = \beta = 1$.

Definition 2.4. *We say that a subset I of $[-1, 1]$ is a Gabor-Heisenberg (GH) frame set if the following holds. For every $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$, if g is a Gabor field over I with lattice parameters $\alpha = \beta = 1$, then the Heisenberg system $\hat{T}(g, 1, 1)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$.*

We remark that the preceding notion is analogous to the conditions of [8, Lemma 5.3] for the situation when the domain is $\mathbb{R}$. In that case $\Lambda = \hat{\mathbb{R}}$ – the frequency domain for the Euclidean Fourier transform – and integer translations on the group $\mathbb{R}$ become modulation on the Fourier transform side, rather than Gabor systems. There one could say that I is a “modulation frame set” if it satisfies the following. If $g \in L^2(I)$ and the constant $g(\lambda)$ is a Parseval frame for $\mathbb{C}$ for a.e. $\lambda \in I$ (meaning only that $|g(\lambda)| = 1$ a.e. on I), then $e^{2\pi i \lambda m} g(\lambda)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(I)$. A consequence of [8, Lemma 5.3] in that case (allowing for differences in scaling) is that I is a modulation frame set if and only if I is translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval. We show that an analogous result holds here.

Proposition 2.5. *Let I be a measurable subset of $[-1, 1]$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *I is a GH set.*

(ii) *I is translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval.*

Proof. In light of Proposition 2.3, we need only show that (i) implies (ii). Suppose that I is not translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval. Since I is included in $[-1, 1]$, then we have a measurable non-null set $E \subset I \cap [0, 1]$ such that $E - 1 \subset I \cap [-1, 0]$. Define $g(\lambda, t) = \mathbf{1}_{[-1, 0]}(t)$ for $\lambda \in I \cap [0, 1]$ and $g(\lambda, t) = \mathbf{1}_{[0, 1]}(t)$ for $\lambda \in I \cap [-1, 0]$. It is clear that g defines a Gabor field over I . Set $\eta(\lambda, t) = \text{sign}(\lambda)(\eta_1(\lambda, t) + \eta_2(\lambda, t))$ where

$$\eta_1(\lambda, t) = \mathbf{1}_E(\lambda) \mathbf{1}_{(\lambda-1)E}(t), \quad \eta_2(\lambda, t) = \mathbf{1}_{E-1}(\lambda) \mathbf{1}_{(\lambda+1)E}(t).$$

We claim that $\langle \eta, g_{k,l,m} \rangle = 0$ for all k, l, m .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta, g_{k,l,m} \rangle &= \int \int \eta_1(\lambda, t) e^{-2\pi i \lambda m} e^{2\pi i l \lambda t} \lambda dt d\lambda + \int \int \eta_2(\lambda, t) e^{-2\pi i \lambda m} e^{2\pi i l \lambda t} \lambda dt d\lambda \\ &= \int \mathbf{1}_E(\lambda) e^{-2\pi i \lambda m} \int \mathbf{1}_{(\lambda-1)E}(t) e^{2\pi i l \lambda t} \mathbf{1}_{[-1, 0]}(t - k) dt d\lambda + \\ &\quad \int \mathbf{1}_{E-1}(\lambda) e^{-2\pi i \lambda m} \int \mathbf{1}_{(\lambda+1)E}(t) e^{2\pi i l \lambda t} \mathbf{1}_{[0, 1]}(t - k) dt d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now for $\lambda \in E$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ so $-1 \leq \lambda - 1 \leq 0$ and $(\lambda - 1)E \subset [-1, 0]$. Hence $\mathbf{1}_{(\lambda-1)E}(t)\mathbf{1}_{[-1,0]}(t-k) = 0$ unless $k = 0$. Similarly, for $\lambda \in E-1$, $\mathbf{1}_{(\lambda+1)E}(t)\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(t-k) = 0$ unless $k = 0$. Hence $\langle \eta, g_{k,l,m} \rangle = 0$ unless $k = 0$. Now when $k = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta, g_{0,l,m} \rangle &= \int \mathbf{1}_E(\lambda) e^{-2\pi i \lambda m} \lambda \int \mathbf{1}_{(\lambda-1)E}(t) e^{2\pi i l \lambda t} dt d\lambda + \\ &\quad \int \mathbf{1}_{E-1}(\lambda) e^{-2\pi i \lambda m} \lambda \int \mathbf{1}_{(\lambda+1)E}(t) e^{2\pi i l \lambda t} dt d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Change $\lambda \mapsto \lambda - 1$ in the second term of the preceding and we get

$$\langle \eta, g_{0,l,m} \rangle = \int \mathbf{1}_E(\lambda) e^{-2\pi i \lambda m} \left(\int F_1(\lambda, t) dt + \int F_2(\lambda, t) dt \right) d\lambda$$

where $F_1(\lambda, t) = \lambda \mathbf{1}_{(\lambda-1)E}(t) e^{2\pi i l \lambda t}$ and $F_2(\lambda, t) = (\lambda - 1) \mathbf{1}_{\lambda E}(t) e^{2\pi i l (\lambda-1)t}$. But for each $\lambda \in E$ (except 0), $\lambda > 0$ while $\lambda - 1 < 0$, so

$$\int F_1(\lambda, t) dt \stackrel{(s=\lambda t)}{=} \int \mathbf{1}_{(\lambda-1)E}(s/\lambda) e^{2\pi i l s} ds = \int \mathbf{1}_{\lambda(\lambda-1)E}(s) e^{2\pi i l s} ds$$

while

$$\int F_2(\lambda, t) dt \stackrel{(s=(\lambda-1)t)}{=} - \int \mathbf{1}_{\lambda E}(s/(\lambda-1)) e^{2\pi i l s} ds = - \int \mathbf{1}_{\lambda(\lambda-1)E}(s) e^{2\pi i l s} ds$$

so that $\langle \eta, g_{0,l,m} \rangle = 0$ also. □

We state the preceding in terms of multiplicity-free subspaces also.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ be a multiplicity-free subspace of $L^2(N)$ with $I \subset [-1, 1]$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *For every Gabor field g over I , $\mathcal{T}(V^{-1}g, 1, 1)$ is a Parseval frame for $\mathcal{H}$.*
- (ii) *I is translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval.*

Next we consider the dilation operators on the subspaces $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ that arise from dilations D_a via reducing isomorphisms. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a multiplicity-one subspace of $L^2(N)$. If $D_a(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{H}$ holds for all $a > 0$ then we will say that $\mathcal{H}$ is an invariant multiplicity-one subspace of $L^2(N)$. In this case the reducing isomorphisms are semi-invariant for the operators $C_a, a > 0$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an invariant multiplicity-one subspace of $L^2(N)$, and choose a measurable unit vector field $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that (1.7) holds. Then for each $a > 0$, there is associated with $\mathcal{H}$ and $\{e_\lambda\}$ a measurable unitary function $\chi_a : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that*

$$(2.5) \quad C_a e_\lambda = \chi_a(\lambda) e_{a\lambda}$$

holds for a.e. λ . Moreover, the map $a \mapsto \chi_a$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. Fix $a > 0$. For each λ put $\hat{P}_\lambda = e_\lambda \otimes e_\lambda$, so that (1.5) holds. Set $\hat{Q}_\lambda := C_a^{-1} \hat{P}_{a\lambda} C_a$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and define the operator Q on $L^2(N)$ by

$$\hat{Q} := \mathcal{F} Q \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \{1 \otimes \hat{Q}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}.$$

Using the relation (1.3), it is straightforward to check that $Q\eta = \eta$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $Q\eta = 0$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{H}^\perp$; hence $Q = P$ and by the uniqueness of the decomposition (1.5), we have a co-null subset E_a of Λ such that for all $\lambda \in E_a$, $\hat{Q}_\lambda = \hat{P}_\lambda$. Let $S = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : \hat{P}_\lambda \neq 0\}$ and fix $\lambda \in E_a \cap S$. Then for each $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\langle f, C_a^{-1} e_{a\lambda} \rangle C_a^{-1} e_{a\lambda} = \hat{Q}_\lambda f = \hat{P}_\lambda f = \langle f, e_\lambda \rangle e_\lambda.$$

Set $\chi_a(\lambda) = \langle C_a e_\lambda, e_{a\lambda} \rangle$ for $\lambda \in E_a \cap S$ and $\chi_a(\lambda) = 0$ otherwise. Putting $f = e_\lambda$ in the preceding we find that for $\lambda \in E_a \cap S$,

$$C_a e_\lambda = \chi_a(\lambda) e_{a\lambda}.$$

Since e_λ is a measurable vector field and $\chi_a(\lambda) = \langle C_a e_\lambda, e_{a\lambda} \rangle$ holds for a.e. λ , then (by putting $\chi_a(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \notin E_a \cap S$), χ_a determines a unique (up to a.e. equality) measurable function. Now let a and b be positive numbers. For all λ belonging to the co-null set $E_{ab} \cap E_b \cap b^{-1} E_a \cap S$, we have

$$\chi_{ab}(\lambda) e_{ab\lambda} = C_{ab} e_\lambda = C_a C_b e_\lambda = C_a \chi_b(\lambda) e_{b\lambda} = \chi_a(\lambda) \chi_b(\lambda) e_{ab\lambda}.$$

□

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $D_j = D_{2^j}$. Given $\psi \in L^2(N)$, we consider the wavelet system

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{U}(\psi, \alpha, \beta) = \{D_j T_{(k,l,m)} \psi : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Suppose we are given a family $\chi = \{\chi_a : a > 0\}$ of measurable unitary functions on Λ such that $\chi_{a^{-1}} = \overline{\chi_a}$. Define the unitary dilation operators $\hat{D}_a^\chi, a > 0$ on $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ by $\hat{D}_a^\chi f(\lambda, t) = \chi_a(\lambda) f(a\lambda, a^{-1/2}t) a^{3/4}$, and put $\hat{D}_j^\chi = \hat{D}_{2^j}^\chi, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given $g \in L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ and lattice parameters α and β , we put

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}(g, \alpha, \beta, \chi) = \{\hat{D}_j^\chi \hat{T}_{k,l,m} g : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The following shows that the preceding system is equivalent with the wavelet system (2.6).

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an invariant multiplicity-one subspace of $L^2(N)$, and let $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ be a reducing isomorphism with associated multiplier χ . Then for each $a > 0$ and $x \in N$ the following diagram commutes:*

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{T_x} & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{D_a} & \mathcal{H} \\ V \downarrow & & & & \downarrow V \\ L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{T}_x} & L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{D}_a^\chi} & L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

and hence the system $\mathcal{U}(\psi, \alpha, \beta)$ is equivalent to $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}(V\psi, \alpha, \beta, \chi)$ via the isomorphism V .

Proof. We need only show how the isomorphism V intertwines with dilation and translation operators. For this, let $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$. For each $x \in N$ we have

$$(VT_x\eta)(\lambda) = \widehat{T_x\eta}(\lambda)(e_\lambda) = \pi_\lambda(x)(\hat{\eta}(\lambda)(e_\lambda)) = \hat{T}_x V\eta(\lambda).$$

For $a > 0$, we again use equation (1.3); for a.e. λ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (VD_a\eta)(\lambda) &= \widehat{D_a\eta}(\lambda)(e_\lambda) = C_a^{-1}\hat{\eta}(a\lambda)C_a e_\lambda \quad a \\ &= C_a^{-1}\hat{\eta}(a\lambda)(\chi_a(\lambda)e_{a\lambda}) \quad a \\ &= \chi_a(\lambda)C_a^{-1}(V\eta)(a\lambda) \quad a \\ &= (\hat{D}_a^\chi V\eta)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

□

Now suppose that I is a subset of Λ that meets each dilation orbit $\{2^j\lambda : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ at exactly one point. Recall that this means that I is dilation congruent with the Shannon set, and that $\mathbb{R} = \dot{\cup}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j I$. For $f \in L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}} |f(\lambda, t)|^2 |\lambda| d\lambda dt &= \int_{\Lambda} \sum_j \mathbf{1}_{2^{-j}I}(\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(\lambda, t)|^2 dt |\lambda| d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}} \sum_j \mathbf{1}_{2^{-j}I}(\lambda) \left| f(\lambda, 2^{j/2}t) 2^{j/4} \right|^2 dt |\lambda| d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}} \left| \mathbf{1}_{I \times \mathbb{R}}(\lambda, t) \chi_{-j}(\lambda) f(2^{-j}\lambda, 2^{j/2}t) 2^{-3j/4} \right|^2 dt |\lambda| d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(2.8) \quad \|f\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \|\mathbf{1}_{I \times \mathbb{R}} \hat{D}_{-j}^\chi f\|^2$$

In light of the preceding as well as Proposition 2.8 the following is immediate.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be any invariant multiplicity-one subspace of $L^2(N)$ with reducing isomorphism $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ and let α and β be any lattice parameters. Suppose that I is dilation congruent with the Shannon set and let $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$, $\psi = V^{-1}g$. If $\hat{T}(g, \alpha, \beta)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$, then the wavelet systems $\mathcal{U}(\psi, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}(g, \alpha, \beta, \chi)$ are a Parseval frames for $\mathcal{H}$ and $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$, respectively.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$; since g has support in $I \times \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{I \times \mathbb{R}} \hat{D}_{-j}^\chi f\|^2 = \sum_{k,l,m} \left| \langle \hat{D}_{-j}^\chi f, g_{k,l,m} \rangle \right|^2 = \sum_{k,l,m} \left| \langle f, \hat{D}_j^\chi g_{k,l,m} \rangle \right|^2.$$

Now combine the preceding with equation (2.8). □

We apply the preceding to Example 2.2.

Corollary 2.10. *Suppose that I is both translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval and is dilation congruent with the Shannon set. Set $g = \beta^{1/2} \mathbf{1}_{I \times [0, \alpha]}$ and put $\psi = V^{-1}g$. Then the systems $\mathcal{U}(\psi, \alpha, \beta)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(g, \alpha, \beta, \chi)$ are a Parseval frames for $\mathcal{H}$ and $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$, respectively.*

3. A NECESSARY CONDITION FOR WAVELET GENERATORS AND WAVELET SETS

Let G denote the semi-direct product $N \rtimes H$ of N by the multiplicative group H of positive real numbers, where H acts on N by the dilations defined in (1.1). Recall that a left Haar measure is given on G by $a^{-3} dx da$. Let τ be the quasiregular representation of G acting in $L^2(N)$: for $\phi \in L^2(N)$,

$$\tau(x, a)\phi = T_x D_a \phi = \phi(a^{-1}x^{-1}\cdot)a^{-1}, x \in N, a \in H.$$

Observe that the invariant multiplicity one subspaces of $L^2(N)$ are τ -invariant; indeed, the restriction of τ to $\mathcal{H}$ is a direct sum of two (non-isomorphic) irreducible representations ρ_+ and ρ_- of G .

Now let $\mathcal{H}$ be an invariant multiplicity one subspace and choose a reducing isomorphism V for $\mathcal{H}$ with multiplier χ . Then we have seen that $V\tau(x, a)|_{\mathcal{H}}V^{-1} = \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a^\chi$. (See diagram (2.7).) Now as is well-known (see for example [1]), there are non-zero functions $\psi \in L^2(N)$ such that for every $\phi \in L^2(N)$, $(x, a) \mapsto \langle \phi, \tau(x, a)\psi \rangle$ belongs to $L^2(G)$. It is easily seen that projections of such functions to $\mathcal{H}$ have the same property. Via the isomorphism V , it follows that we can choose a non-zero $h \in L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ such that for every $f \in L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$,

$$\int_G \left| \langle f, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a^\chi h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-3} dx da < \infty,$$

and the linear function $W_h : L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(G)$ defined by $W_h(f)(x, a) = \langle f, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a^\chi h \rangle$ is bounded. Now it is straightforward to construct an invariant multiplicity one subspace with reducing isomorphism whose multiplier χ is trivial: $\chi_a(\lambda) = 1$ for all $a > 0, \lambda \in \Lambda$ (see for example [1] or [10]). For the purposes of this section we assume that this is the case, and we put $\hat{D}_a = \hat{D}_a^\chi$.

Define the linear operator C on $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ by the weak operator-valued integral

$$C(f) = \int_{a=1}^2 \int_N W_h(f)(x, a) \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h c(x, a) a^{-3} dx da$$

where $c(x, a)$ is a positive, integrable function on G with $\text{supp}(c) \subset N \times [1, 2]$.

Lemma 3.1. *C is a positive trace-class operator and*

$$\mathrm{Tr} \, C = \|h\|^2 \int_1^2 \int_N c(x, a) a^{-3} dx da.$$

Proof. For $f \in L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ and $(x, a) \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Cf, f \rangle &= \int_1^2 \int_N W_h(f)(x, a) \langle \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h, f \rangle c(x, a) a^{-3} dx da \\ &= \int_1^2 \int_N |W_h(f)(x, a)|^2 c(x, a) a^{-3} dx da \end{aligned}$$

showing that C is positive. Let $\{f_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$. Then for each $(x, a) \in G$ we have

$$\sum_n |W_h(f_n)(x, a)|^2 = \sum_n |\langle f_n, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle|^2 = \|\hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h\|^2 = \|h\|^2$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \langle Cf_n, f_n \rangle &= \int_1^2 \int_N \left(\sum_n |W_h(f_n)(x, a)|^2 \right) c(x, a) a^{-3} dx da \\ &= \|h\|^2 \int_1^2 \int_N c(x, a) a^{-3} dx da. \end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to prove the following result for arbitrary wavelet frame generators in $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$, which is an analogue of [2, Theorem 3.3.1].

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(g, \alpha, \beta, 1)$ is a frame for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ with frame bounds A, B . Then*

$$A \alpha \beta \ln 2 \leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(\lambda, t)|^2 \lambda^{-1} dt d\lambda \leq B \alpha \beta \ln 2$$

and

$$A \alpha \beta \ln 2 \leq \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(\lambda, t)|^2 |\lambda|^{-1} dt d\lambda \leq B \alpha \beta \ln 2.$$

Proof. We prove the first equality; the proof of the second is similar. Choose a function h as above so that W_h is bounded, and so that $\mathrm{supp}(h) \subset (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. For

ease of notation we write $g_{jklm} := \hat{D}_j \hat{T}_{k,l,m} g$. We compute that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle C g_{jklm}, g_{jklm} \rangle &= \int_1^2 \int_N \left| \langle g_{jklm}, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 c(x, a) a^{-3} dx da \\
&= \int_1^2 \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_{k,l,m}^{-1} \hat{T}_{2^{-j} \cdot x} \hat{D}_{2^{-j} a} h \rangle \right|^2 c(x, a) a^{-3} dx da \\
&= \int_1^2 \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_{(k,l,m)^{-1} x} \hat{D}_{2^{-j} a} h \rangle \right|^2 c((2^j \cdot x), a) a^{-3} 2^{2j} dx da \\
&= \int_{2^{-j}}^{2^{-j+1}} \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 c(2^j \cdot ((k, l, m)x), 2^j a) a^{-3} dx da.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let δ be any positive number, and let $c(x, a)$ be defined for $1 \leq a \leq 2$ by

$$c(x, a) = w\left(\frac{|x_1|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_2|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_3|}{a}\right)$$

where $w(s) = w_\delta(s) = \delta e^{-\pi(\delta s)^2}$, and $c = 0$ otherwise. For $2^{-j} \leq a \leq 2^{-j+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&c(2^j \cdot ((k, l, m)x), 2^j a) \\
&= w\left(\frac{|2^{j/2}(x_1 + k)|}{\sqrt{2^j a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|2^{j/2}(x_2 + l)|}{\sqrt{2^j a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|2^j(x_3 + m + kx_2)|}{2^j a}\right) \\
&= w\left(\frac{|x_1 + k|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_2 + l|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_3 + m + kx_2|}{a}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

So we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\delta &:= \sum_{j,k,l,m} \langle C g_{jklm}, g_{jklm} \rangle \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 S_\delta(x, a) a^{-3} dx da
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_\delta(x, a) = \sum_{k,l,m} w\left(\frac{|x_1 + k|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_2 + l|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_3 + m + kx_2|}{a}\right).$$

Now since C is a positive trace class operator on $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\{g_{jklm} : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ with frame bounds $A \leq B$, then for each $\delta > 0$ we have

$$(3.1) \quad A \operatorname{Tr} C \leq T_\delta \leq B \operatorname{Tr} C.$$

and by Lemma 3.1,

$$\operatorname{Tr} C = \|h\|^2 \int_1^2 \int_N c(x, a) a^{-3} dx da = \|h\|^2 \ln 2.$$

Hence it will suffice to show that as $\delta \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3.2) \quad T_\delta \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \|h\|^2 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(\lambda, t)|^2 \lambda^{-1} dt d\lambda.$$

We begin by examining the expression $S(x, a)$ more closely. Following [2, page 65, proof of Theorem 3.3.1], for each x_2, x_3 , and k , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} w\left(\frac{|x_3 + m + kx_2|}{a}\right) = a + \rho_3(x_3 + kx_2, a)$$

where $|\rho_3(x_3 + kx_2, a)| \leq \delta$ holds for all k, x_2, x_3 , and a . Similarly

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} w\left(\frac{|x_2 + l|}{a}\right) = \frac{a}{\beta} + \rho_2(x_2, a)$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{k \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} w\left(\frac{|x_1 + k|}{a}\right) = \frac{a}{\alpha} + \rho_1(x_1, a)$$

where $|\rho_2(x_2, a)| \leq \delta$ and $|\rho_1(x_1, a)| \leq \delta$ hold for all x_1, x_2 and a . Applying (3.3) to the expression $S_\delta(x, a)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\delta(x, a) &= \sum_{k \in \alpha\mathbb{Z}, l \in \beta\mathbb{Z}} w\left(\frac{|x_1 + k|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_2 + l|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) \sum_m w\left(\frac{|x_3 + m + kx_2|}{a}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k, l} w\left(\frac{|x_1 + k|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_2 + l|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) (a + \rho_3(x_3 + ky, a)) \\ &= a \sum_{k, l} w\left(\frac{|x_1 + k|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_2 + l|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) + R_3(x, a) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_3(x, a) = \sum_{k, l} w\left(\frac{|x_1 + k|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_2 + l|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) \rho_3(x_3 + kx_2, a).$$

Now applying (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$S_\delta(x, a) = \frac{a^2}{\alpha\beta} + R_\delta(x, a)$$

where $R_\delta = R_{12} + R_3$ and

$$R_{12}(x, a) = \frac{a\sqrt{a}}{\beta} \rho_1(x_1, \sqrt{a}) + \frac{a\sqrt{a}}{\alpha} \rho_2(x_2, \sqrt{a}) + a\rho_1(x_1, \sqrt{a})\rho_2(x_2, \sqrt{a}).$$

So now we can write

$$T_\delta = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 \left(\frac{a^2}{\alpha\beta} + R_\delta(x, a) \right) a^{-3} dx da$$

We claim that

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-1} dx da < \infty.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} |R_3(x, a)| &\leq \sum_{k,l} w\left(\frac{|x_1 + k|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_2 + l|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) |\rho_3(x_3 + kx_2, a)| \\ &\leq \delta \sum_{k,l} w\left(\frac{|x_1 + k|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) w\left(\frac{|x_2 + l|}{\sqrt{a}}\right) \\ &\leq \delta \left(\frac{\sqrt{a}}{\beta} \rho_1(x_1, \sqrt{a}) + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\alpha} \rho_2(x_2, \sqrt{a}) + \rho_1(x_1, \sqrt{a}) \rho_2(x_2, \sqrt{a}) \right) \\ &\leq \delta^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{a}}{\beta} + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\alpha} \right) + \delta^3 \end{aligned}$$

while

$$|R_{12}(x, a)| \leq \delta \left(\frac{a\sqrt{a}}{\beta} + \frac{a\sqrt{a}}{\alpha} \right) + \delta^2 a$$

and so

$$|R_\delta(x, a)| \leq \delta \left(\frac{a\sqrt{a}}{\beta} + \frac{a\sqrt{a}}{\alpha} \right) + \delta^2 \left(a + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\beta} + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\alpha} \right) + \delta^3.$$

Hence $a^{-2}|R_\delta(x, a)| \rightarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that there is $m > 0$ such that for $a > m$,

$$S_\delta(x, a) \geq \frac{a^2}{2\alpha\beta}$$

and hence

$$\int_m^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-1} dx da \leq 2\alpha\beta \int_m^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 S(x, a) a^{-3} dx da < \infty.$$

Since $(x, a) \mapsto \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-3}$ is integrable then the integral (3.6) taken from $a = 0$ to m is finite, and the claim follows. Now we can write

$$T_\delta = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-1} dx da + I_\delta$$

where

$$I_\delta = \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 R_\delta(x, a) a^{-3} dx da.$$

Since $(x, a) \mapsto \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2$ is integrable over $(0, \infty) \times N$ with respect to both of the measures $a^{-1} dx da$ and $a^{-3} dx da$, then it is integrable with respect to the measure

$a^{-p}dx da$ for any $1 < p < 3$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\delta &= \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 R_\delta(x, a) a^{-3} dx da \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 \left(\delta \left(\frac{a\sqrt{a}}{\beta} + \frac{a\sqrt{a}}{\alpha} \right) + \delta^2 \left(a + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\beta} + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{\alpha} \right) + \delta^3 \right) a^{-3} dx da \\
&= \delta \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 \left(\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (a^{-3/2} + \delta a^{-5/2}) dx da \\
&\quad + \delta^2 \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-2} dx da \\
&\quad + \delta^3 \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-3} dx da
\end{aligned}$$

and now we have

$$T_\delta \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-1} dx da$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Finally, let ϕ and ψ be the preimages in $\mathcal{H}$ of g and h . A standard calculation (see for example [1, equation (2.1)]) shows

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-1} dx da &= \int_0^\infty \int_N |\phi * (\tau(a)\psi)^*(x)|^2 dx a^{-1} da \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_\Lambda \|\hat{\phi}(\lambda) C_a^{-1} \hat{\psi}(a\lambda)^* C_a\|^2 |\lambda| d\lambda da.
\end{aligned}$$

where the norm in the last integral is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Now $\hat{\phi}(\lambda) = g(\lambda, \cdot) \otimes e_\lambda$, $\hat{\psi}(\lambda)^* = e_\lambda \otimes h(\lambda, \cdot)$. It follows that for a.e. λ ,

$$(3.7) \quad \|\hat{\phi}(\lambda) C_a^{-1} \hat{\psi}(a\lambda)^* C_a\|^2 = \|g(\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|h(a\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Recalling that h is supported on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, we see that (3.7) vanishes when $\lambda < 0$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \int_N \left| \langle g, \hat{T}_x \hat{D}_a h \rangle \right|^2 a^{-1} dx da &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \|g(\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \|h(a\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \lambda d\lambda ada \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \|g(\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \|h(a, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ada \lambda^{-1} d\lambda \\
&= \|h\|^2 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(\lambda, t)|^2 \lambda^{-1} dt d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the limit (3.2) is shown, and the proof is complete. $\square$

The following is immediate in light of [1, Proposition 2.6].

Corollary 3.3. *Let ψ belong to an invariant multiplicity one subspace $\mathcal{H}$. If ψ is a Parseval frame vector for the unitary system $\mathcal{U}(\alpha, \beta, \mathcal{H})$, then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta\ln 2}}\psi$ is an admissible vector for the corresponding subrepresentation $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}$ of τ .*

Let $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$ be an invariant multiplicity-one subspace of $L^2(N)$, and let I be a subset of Λ . We will say that a function $g \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ is an I -wavelet if $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(g, 1, 1, 1)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$; we shall also say that $\psi = V^{-1}g$ is an I -wavelet.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that every Gabor field g over I is an I -wavelet. Then we have the following.*

(a) *I is dilation congruent with the Shannon set.*

(b) *For any lattice parameters α and β with $I \subset [-1/\alpha\beta, 1/\alpha\beta]$, and for any unitary multiplier χ , $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(g, \alpha, \beta, \chi)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let g be a Gabor field over I . To show (a), we first observe that $\mathbb{R} = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j I$. Otherwise, we would have a measurable $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ of finite (non-zero) measure such that $J \cap (\cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j I) = \emptyset$. Then for all integers j, k, l and m ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\Lambda} \mathbf{1}_{J \times [0,1]}(\lambda, t) \hat{D}_j \hat{T}_{k,l,m} g(\lambda, t) |\lambda| d\lambda dt = 0$$

contradicting our assumption about g . Now just as in [8, proof of Theorem 5.4], we have a measurable subset K of I such that K is dilation congruent with the Shannon set, so that $\mathbb{R} = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j K$ as a disjoint union, and we claim that $K = I$. Put $h := \mathbf{1}_{K \times [0,1]}$; since K is dilation congruent with the Shannon set, then

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(\lambda, t)|^2 \lambda^{-1} d\lambda dt = \int_{K \cap (0, \infty)} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda = \int_{[1/2, 1]} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda = \ln 2.$$

Since $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(g, 1, 1, 1)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$, then by Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(\lambda, t)|^2 \lambda^{-1} d\lambda dt = \ln 2.$$

Since g is a Gabor field we have $\int |g(\lambda, t)|^2 dt = 1$ for a.e. $\lambda \in I$ from (2.4), and hence

$$\ln 2 = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(\lambda, t)|^2 \lambda^{-1} d\lambda dt = \int_{I \cap (0, \infty)} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda$$

Similarly

$$\int_{I \cap (-\infty, 0)} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda = \ln 2 = \int_{K \cap (-\infty, 0)} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda$$

It follows that $I = K$. Next we claim that $\hat{\mathcal{T}}(g, 1, 1)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$. Let $f \in L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$ and recall that $\hat{D}_j f(\lambda, t) = f(2^j \lambda, 2^{-j/2} t) 2^{3j/4}$, so that the support

of $\hat{D}_j f$ is contained in $2^{-j}I \times \mathbb{R}$. Hence for $j \neq 0$,

$$\langle f, \hat{D}_j \hat{T}_{k,l,m} g \rangle = \langle \hat{D}_{-j} f, g \rangle = 0,$$

and we have

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{j,k,l,m} |\langle f, \hat{D}_j \hat{T}_{k,l,m} g \rangle|^2 = \sum_{k,l,m} |\langle f, \hat{T}_{k,l,m} g \rangle|^2.$$

Now since g was an arbitrary Gabor field, we have that I is a GH set. Part (b) now follows from Propositions 2.5 and 2.9. $\square$

The preceding result suggests a definition for wavelet sets in our setting that is analogous to the definition of wavelet sets (or frame sets) for Euclidean spaces.

Definition 3.5. *Let I be a measurable subset of $[-1, 1]$. We shall say that I is a Heisenberg wavelet set if every Gabor field over I is an I -wavelet.*

The characterization of Heisenberg wavelet sets is exactly analogous with the classical case.

Theorem 3.6. *Let I be a measurable subset of $[-1, 1]$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *I is a Heisenberg wavelet set.*
- (ii) *I is translation congruent with a subset of the unit interval and I is dilation congruent with the Shannon set.*

Proof. If I is a Heisenberg wavelet set then (ii) follows from Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 2.5. Suppose that (ii) holds and let g be any Gabor field over I . By Proposition 2.3, $\widehat{T}(g, 1, 1)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$. Now by Proposition 2.9, $\widehat{U}(g, 1, 1, 1)$ is a Parseval frame for $L^2(\Lambda \times \mathbb{R})$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Currey, *Admissibility for a class of quasiregular representations* Can. Jour. Math. **59**, No. 5 (2007), 917–942.
- [2] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, *CBMS-NSF Conference Series in Applied Mathematics* (1992), Capital Series Press
- [3] J. Dixmier, *C^* -Algebras*, (1977), North Holland, Amesterdam
- [4] G.B. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, (1995), CRC Press, Boca Raton
- [5] H. Führ, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis of Continuous Wavelet Transforms*, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **1863** (2005), Springer
- [6] D. Geller, A. Mayeli, *Continuous wavelets and frames on stratified Lie groups I*, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **12** (2006), 543–579.

- [7] K. Gróchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhauser, Boston 2001.
- [8] D. Han, D. Larsen, *Frames, Bases, and Group Representations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **147** (2000), No. 697
- [9] P.G. Lemarie, *Base d'ondelettes sur les groupes de Lie stratifiés*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **117** (1989), 211-232
- [10] A. MAYELI, *Shannon multiresolution analysis on the Heisenberg group*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **348** (2008), No. 2, 671-684.

Bradley Currey, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, MO 63103

E-mail address: `curreybn@slu.edu`

Azita Mayeli, Mathematics Department, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY, 11794-3651, USA

E-mail address: `amayeli@math.sunysb.de`